


# Court of Record

TO: Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth [II]

FAX: 442078395950

DATE: 21 November 2016

COP Eco cleanup: Courts, Parliament,  
Police, **Guns!**



Court of Record  
Postfach 73  
Unterageri  
CH6314 Switzerland  
secretary@courtrecord.org  
UK FAX : +44 1234 48 0111

**Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth [II]**

cc: H.R.H. Prince Philip Lord High Admiral  
cc: H.R.H. Princess Anne, The Princess Royal  
cc: Sophie, Countess of Wessex, Lord High Steward  
cc: H.R.H. Prince Edward, Duke of Palmyra, Joint Intelligence Chief  
cc: Court of St. James

**cc: Rt. Hon. Theresa Mary May, M.P.**

Housekeeper for Her Majesty's Commonwealth  
cc: Rt. Hon. Michael Gove, M.P.  
Crier for Christ

**cc: U.K. Parliament, Court(s), Tipstaff and Police**  
Special Attn: **Leicestershire Magistrates Court, Police**

**cc: His Excellency George W. Bush**

Duke of America in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

**cc: His Excellency Donald Trump**

Governor General of America  
for the Duke of America in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

**cc: His Holiness Pope Benedict XVI**

**cc: His Holiness Pope Francis**

cc: Commander Swiss Guard

**cc: His Excellency Vladimir Vladimirovich Putin**

Duke of Russia in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

cc: His Holiness Patriarch Kirill of Moscow and All Russia

cc: Metropolitan Hilarion of Volokolamsk, head of the Moscow Patriarchate's department for external church relations (DECR)  
via Russian Ambassador

**cc: His Majesty King Felipe VI of Spain**

Duke of The Rock in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

**cc: Queen Rania of Jordan**

Duchess of Jerusalem in Her Majesty's Commonwealth

cc: The Newspaper of Record

Open Letter  
Amicus curiae  
21 November 2016

**May it please Your Majesty,**

In the name of **YHVH, Truth**, Our Lord Jesus Christ, greeting!


Mahakali, YHVH in Her Active form, says "Mew!" in a very soft voice, like a kitten recalling the Mother Land of Man, Mu, waking Her children from long slumber.

The U.S. Election has been "cancelled", idiots have voted and shed tears, while the contemplation of Mahakali tracked H-bomb threats "in a frying pan, near a swamp", which was then conveyed by a certain channel to Donald Trump on the election trail, prompting him to make the remark, "we are going to drain the swamp".

Since then, by the grace of YHVH, Your Majesty has three new Governor Generals, one of them being His Excellency Donald Trump, and a shiny new Naval Foghorn, which has been sounded, causing the "winds of change", to blow, and the earth to shake.

The Reserve Bank of India (R.B.I.) have acted like idiots, thereby they have abdicated their certain duty. Alternate means of providing value to bank notes in circulation have been put in place by the grace of Mahakali. The R.B.I. and all central banks have been made irrelevant. Exodus 32 applies to the R.B.I. shareholders, governors and board of directors.

"James Bond", says Mahakali, and shows a sequence of images and sings a song. She shows a long ear of corn, gesticulating as to what ye would say for this; She then feeds the corn to a pig, the pig having relished its meal shits; the pig shit then goes into a "gobar" bio-gas sack.





She then takes another long ear of corn, from which a lightning bolt issues forth and lights a gobar gas barbecue; She then cooks pork and sausages, seasoned with onions, as She sings, "Poo roo oooh 'n jee ko o oo o oh ... Thee ee ee ka a aa ah keh lae ae ae eh ga deh Bah thee Ha Chi sss bae kooo ooo oooh!".

The scriptural reference to mean and onions of Egypt is obvious, but James Bond? She holds up her hand with five fingers. James 5.

5:1 Go to now, ye rich men, weep and howl for your miseries that shall come upon you.

5:2 Your riches are corrupted, and your garments are motheaten.

5:3 Your gold and silver is cankered; and the rust of them shall be a witness against you, and shall eat your flesh as it were fire. Ye have heaped treasure together for the last days.

5:4 Behold, the hire of the labourers who have reaped down your fields, which is of you kept back by fraud, crieth: and the cries of them which have reaped are entered into the ears of the Lord of sabaoth.

5:5 Ye have lived in pleasure on the earth, and been wanton; ye have nourished your hearts, as in a day of slaughter.

5:6 Ye have condemned and killed the just; and he doth not resist you.

5:7 Be patient therefore, brethren, unto the coming of the Lord.

The General Epistle of James, King James Bible.

The "Eco heads" have been calling for a clean up. The LORD has arranged it. There is General Law and there is Special Law for those of good faith. Mahakali has already provided the explanation. By the grace of YHVH, a Master of Ceremonies has been created. A Sovereign Decision awaits Your Majesty in the Court of St. James!

The learned discourse of Michael, our duly appointed Bailiff, Plaintiff in the matter of the U.K. police and fraudulent courts and Parliament, follows. Wet ink signed and sealed originals, YHVH willing, shall be prepared and sent as soon as possible to Your Majesty.


We send our love to YHVH for Your Majesty!

Yours faithfully,

Joseph Ray Sundarsson

Joseph Ray Sundarsson  
Special Master

PDF version: [fax.courtrecord.uk/KXpAtj4G](http://fax.courtrecord.uk/KXpAtj4G)







# Court of Record

115 George Lane  
London E18 1AB

[courtofrecord.org](http://courtofrecord.org)

Michael Burke  
Plaintiff  
*Bailiff of the Court of Record*  
Tel: +44 1234 816 393 x 45  
Fax: +44 1234 480 111  
Email: [mburke@courtofrecord.uk](mailto:mburke@courtofrecord.uk)

*Amicus curiæ*  
*Affidavit of Truth*

21<sup>st</sup> November 2016

5  
10  
May it please Your Majesty,

I wish to inform Your Majesty of overt & covert acts of High Treason being committed within Your Majesty's realm that involves not only the judiciary but also those who purport to maintain Your Majesty's peace, the Common Law constables who represent Your Majesty. This has happened because Your Majesty's Common Law Courts of Record have fallen victim to a well-planned, long range *coup d'état* by a foreign military power with the approval and consent of Your Majesty's Judges, Magistrates and the executive who have collectively usurped and unlawfully taken unto themselves Your Majesty's lawful authority and prerogative powers. I have provided evidence to show that not only are the judiciary and the executive involved but also the British Parliament.

As I was working on this document, news was brought to my attention that the living man known as Alan David Smith of Melton Mowbray in the county of Rutlandshire in England, had been kidnapped by the 'Police'.

The 'police' man, known as Andrew Hopkins, whose mandate by the foreign invaders has been to levy distress upon my person, sent me the following email:

30  
From: "Hopkins Andy (Slough)"  
Date: 9 Nov 2016 17:59  
Subject:  
To: "mikeburke80"

Hello Mike,

35  
How's it going? I have received the arrest warrant with my name on.

Any update from the work you are doing with the High Court? Do you want me to contact the court of record on your behalf?

40  
Sorry we haven't been able to catch up but as I'm sure you aware Slough is a very busy place!

Any chance we could meet up soon to get this matter resolved? My mobile



is currently out of action so apologises if you have tried to call.

45 Kind regards,

Andy

Police Constable 7352 Hopkins

Slough Tasking Team

50 Telephone - non emergency 101

Mobile - 07815590450

Thames Valley Police, Windsor Road, Slough, Berkshire, SL1 2HH

Email andrew.hopkins@thamesvalley.pnn.police.uk

Perhaps he needs help from this *Court of Record* in getting a refill on his medications from the N.H.S. and  
55 an appointment with their shrink, to help him cope with the consequences that he is wanted for High  
Treason to Your Majesty. He and his 'foreign' bosses may wish to look up the German word  
*Ausnahmepontifikat*<sup>1</sup> and what it means for their skins, or to put it in plain English - you cannot become  
sovereign by killing the sovereign.

This foreign *coup d'état* of Your Majesty's courts would not have been possible without the co-operation  
60 of "corporate" constables who are the enforcement arm of this foreign military power. These  
"corporate" constables, who seem to be totally oblivious of what they are actually doing, have, in their  
ignorance of the law, cast aside their allegiance to Your Majesty whilst continuing to exercise their  
Common Law powers of a constable to enable them to arrest Your Majesty's subjects, not for breaches of  
Your Majesty's peace, but for breaches of the corporate rules of a foreign power, in order to transport  
65 them against their free will and consent to private corporate courts where they are then tried for  
offences unknown to the Common Law and the Law of God, the *lively oracles* that Your Majesty swore to  
maintain at Your Majesty's Coronation.

I have experienced first-hand the fraudulent and treasonous method of enforcement under this foreign  
corporate system of law for which there is no redress of grievance. Apart from this *Court of Record* of  
70 YHVH, there is also no *Writ of Habeas Corpus* as of right as there are no courts exercising a Common Law  
jurisdiction in England from which such *Writ* can run. This unlawful, subversive, oppressive power  
subjugates Your Majesty's subjects against their free will and consent and any form of lawful protest  
against it is harshly dealt with by a similar misuse of powers by what masquerades as Your Majesty's  
judiciary.

75 The only power available to Judges employed by this foreign corporate *institution*<sup>2</sup> that is conducting its  
private business and trading under the name "U.K. PLC" and controlled by an entity called "THE  
**CROWN**", is, like the constables, their Common Law juridical powers. I have documents evidencing that  
these powers are what were used against Alan David Smith and myself. We were both sentenced to 7  
days in prison for contempt at Common Law on 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2015 after I pointed out to Sean Enright,  
80 a man treasonously masquerading as one of Your Majesty's judges in Peterborough Crown Court, that  
he was conducting private commercial business while sitting under your Majesty's coat of arms and for  
asking him if he was sitting in the stead of Your Majesty. Sean Enright was acting in his private  
corporate capacity and therefore the lawful power to imprison Alan and myself for contempt was not  
available to him, yet he had us unlawfully imprisoned in a commercial prison publicly touted as one of  
85 Your Majesty's prisons.

Whilst we were held captive together with Peter Frenette in HMP Peterborough, a privately owned

---

1 It can be seen that an *Ausnahme* has existed since circa 1870, when the then Pope put the Rule of Law into  
Trust with the then Queen of England, Queen Victoria. The rude races of Europe have had quite a lot of  
difficulty in reading the Word of God from which the Rule of Law comes. *Naviget Anticyram!*

2 The *idiots* seem not to know that *they* are in the said *mental* institution.



commercial prison run by a 'front' corporation, **SODEXO**<sup>3</sup>, which, via another registered 'front' corporation, the **MINISTRY OF JUSTICE**, is privately-owned by the **CROWN CORPORATION**, the foreign military power now governing England, I had first-hand knowledge that the same Sean Enright  
90 conspired, planned and acted in a joint criminal enterprise with other officers of the said Crown Corporation to plant false bail documents on Peter Frenette of Little Paxton, Huntingdonshire because Peter refused to give Sean Enright any Admiralty authority over him and refused to go into the dock in a matter involving a fictitious plaintiff, a fictitious claim, a fictitious defendant, a false search warrant and a fictitious, victimless crime.

95 Peters accusative affidavit, hurriedly written in his own hand whilst unlawfully detained in Peterborough prison, recording how he outwitted the treasonous Sean Enright and his co-conspirators in their failed joint criminal enterprise for planting false bail documents on Peter, can be viewed and read on the *Court of Record* website, [www.courtrecord.uk](http://www.courtrecord.uk). Later, Peter reported Sean Enright's criminal conspiracy to the Cambridgeshire Constabulary Incident Record office, naming Sean Enright  
100 and all the **CROWN** officers and **CROWN** agents directly involved in the attempted criminal frame-up, and was given an official incident number CC11102016-0320 by the Cambridgeshire Constabulary. Also involved in Shaun Enright's criminal conspiracy against Peter were Cambridgeshire constables, Luis Tadman, collar number 0909, Paul Chadwick, collar number 0099, Sgt. Andrew Flatley, collar number 0356, Kevin Moar, deputy court custody manager, and Monica Mactynoska, court/prison custody  
105 officer. To the present day the Cambridgeshire Constabulary have failed to conduct an investigation.

The written evidence proving that the power Sean Enright used to imprison me was a power not lawfully available to him in his corporate capacity confirms that even the judiciary, like constables, have to resort to dipping into the **Common Law bag of tricks** for fraudulently authenticating their unlawful misuse and abuse of authority and power. In any claim in any ordinary customary court, there  
110 must be someone representing Your Majesty as Your Majesty cannot be non-suited, but this is not the case in these foreign corporate courts.

Irrefutable and damning evidence that Parliament is involved in running this private business is written down for posterity in Parliament's own records.

115 [http://hansard.millbanksystems.com/lords/1994/nov/24/address-in-reply-to-her-majestys-most-1#S5LV0559P0\\_19941124\\_HOL\\_132](http://hansard.millbanksystems.com/lords/1994/nov/24/address-in-reply-to-her-majestys-most-1#S5LV0559P0_19941124_HOL_132)

All of us have spent, and still do every day, too much time talking about how to divide the national cake instead of how to increase its size. We all know that the National Health Service, education, the environment, local government, defence, the Civil Service, the police, the judiciary and even politicians in the Houses of Parliament must all be paid for out of the earnings of UK plc, the wealth  
120 creating<sup>d</sup> sector.

The President of the Supreme Court, Lord Neuberger in his lecture on how we are governed through "**U.K. PLC**" by the **CITY OF LONDON**, can be seen in an extract from a speech by him in May 2015.

125 From: <https://www.supremecourt.uk/docs/speech-150512.pdf>

Magna Carta and the Holy Grail

Lord Neuberger

Lincoln's Inn, 12 May 2015

130 ... More specifically, the preservation of the "ancient liberties and free customs" of the City of London in clause 13 of the 1215 Magna Carta strikes a very strong chord today. Both "UK" and "PLC" may be somewhat anachronistic acronyms to attribute to the authors of Magna Carta, but clause 13 carries that sort of message.

Below is Chapter 13 of the 1215 Magna Charta which reads:

3 The corporate name appears to be a reference to the rule of sodomy.

4 Stealing, not creating, or more accurately, begging at the court of Caesar, for theft by Colour of Law fraud.



135 And the city of London is to have all its ancient liberties and free customs, both on land and water. Moreover we wish and grant that all other cities, boroughs, towns and ports are to have all their liberties and free customs.

The usurping of Your Majesty's authority in Your Majesty's own courts is no secret as Lord Neuberger has lectured in detail on the matter. The creation of a Ministry of Justice is exactly what the Rt. Hon. Lord Hewart warned about in his book called "The New Despotism" (1929). Lord Hewart went on to say:

140 Writers on the Constitution have for a long time taught that its two<sup>5</sup> leading features are the Sovereignty of Parliament and the Rule of Law. To tamper with either of them was, it might be thought, a sufficiently serious undertaking. But how far more attractive to the ingenious and adventurous mind to employ the one to defeat the other, and to establish a despotism on the ruins of both...The old despotism, which was  
145 defeated, offered Parliament a challenge. The new despotism, which is not yet defeated, gives Parliament an anaesthetic. The strategy is different, but the goal is the same. It is to subordinate Parliament, to evade the Courts, and to render the will, or the caprice, of the Executive unfettered and supreme.

150 Tomorrow's lawyers to today. Today's lawyers tomorrow.  
80 Club Lecture, Association of liberal lawyers.  
Lord Neuberger  
19th February 2013.

155 Many fundamental reforms were made by the Constitutional Reform Act 2005.

The Law Lords have vacated the House of Lords, moving across Parliament Square to the Supreme Court. The office of Lord Chancellor is now that of a Minister of Justice, while the Lord Chief Justice has become head of the judiciary of England and Wales.

160 The courts system was taken over by a **sort of partnership** between the Judges and the Ministry of Justice called Her Majesties Court Service (or HMCS).

The title **HMCS** (Her Majesty's Court Service) evolved into **HMCTS** (Her Majesty's Courts & Tribunals Services), a registered subsidiary corporation privately-owned by the **CROWN CORPORATION**. Furthermore, and of deep concern is, since the Lord Chancellor has vacated his office, there is no longer a Keeper of Your Majesty's conscience.

165 The so called judges and constables who are called "police officers" or "police constables" when acting in their private capacity as "**CROWN CORPORATION**" Admiralty officers, are both paid by "**THE CROWN CORPORATION**" guised as "**U.K. PLC**". As corporate entities, these **CROWN CORPORATION** officers have no lawful power to coerce, fine, imprison or arrest any of Your Majesty's subjects exercising their God given inalienable natural rights and peacefully going about their lawful day to day business.

170 As there are very few Common Law constables left who have sworn the requisite oath to maintain Your Majesty's peace, the roots of which can be traced back to the Law of God and the ten commandments, their corporate employers believe that its corporate police officers must have a duty imposed on them by the Common Law to catch 'criminals'. Therefore it was imperative that they had such a coercive power which is where **the office of the Common Law constable was subverted in 1996** by the simple expedient of an affirmation. Now these corporate "police constables" could exercise this tremendous power of arrest fraudulently obtained from the office of constable in order to raise business for the

175 5 It can be now be seen that 'Parliament' is not 'supreme' and therefore there can be only one 'leading' feature of English governance, that is, the **Rule of Law**. This *Court of Record* **has gained jurisdiction** over Parliament, which is **in dishonour**, along with their 'foreign' puppet masters.



“CROWN CORPORATION’S” fronting company, “U.K. PLC”, by having what they tout to be a lawful power to use force to take you into “CROWN CORPORATION” courts.<sup>6</sup> The said Act confirms that a Constable using such powers without lawful authority commits a ‘crime’.

180 The corporation make rules, called statutes<sup>7</sup>, they are statutes, not statute law, in order to make anything they wish an offence by creating a *Bill of Attainder* by Colour of Law fraud passing for legislation. Whereas as once an honest, law abiding Englishman could exercise one of his liberties, to carry arms for his defence, the rules of the corporation **purport** to have disarmed Your Majesty’s subjects.

185 Anyone caught flouting the rules of the corporation are dealt with severely by their private courts. This is what I have been subjected to recently having been arrested whilst going about my normal trade, exercising this very liberty. I know that all of my arrests were carried out by constables as I specifically asked them and all admitted they were constables. After being captured, I was then taken to their custody cells and held pending transportation by officers from GeoAmey to be handed over to private  
190 custody staff at their private court cells.

At the start of my purported trial on Thursday 13<sup>th</sup> October 2016 when I refused to go into the dock, I twice asked the Crown prosecutor James Cartwright if there was a living man or woman who had a claim against me and both times he said that he did not know. I reminded him that as he was the prosecutor he must know and if there was no one, I suggested that he should go back into court and  
195 asked that the proceedings be dismissed as there was no case to answer. I also told him that I knew that it was a private business operated through “U.K. PLC” and I was there solely as the bailiff of the *Court of Record* who were conducting a treason investigation. I then handed James Cartwright documents from the *Court of Record* and gave him another set to hand to Sarah Campbell *acting* as recorder. After I continued to refuse to enter their corporate jurisdiction, James Cartwright asked me my age and then  
200 remarked, “so you’re still alive then?”. Later in the conversation he said that in this world there were people with power and people without power and he was going to speak to me as a soldier and if I did not do as he said that I would be **metaphorically killed**. This I believe was a reference to killing off the fictitious MICHAEL BURKE so that he would not be liable for the costs. James Cartwright had showed me an arrest warrant addressed to all constables to arrest MICHAEL BURKE and bring him before the court  
205 and I pointed out to him that it was addressed to all “constables” not officers and he was relying on the fraudulent use of constable powers to force me into their corporate jurisdiction once more.

Shortly after 1 P.M., James Cartwright came out from the courtroom and said that he was going to lunch and I was not allowed to leave the building and I was then arrested by five “constables” and forcibly  
210 taken to the corporate cells where I was handed over to GeoAmey, another ‘front’ corporation, and kept there for a few hours until Sarah Campbell, called for me to be brought up to the dock. GeoAmey officers made it clear to me that if I refused to enter her corporate jurisdiction, I would be taken immediately to H.M.P. Bullingdon. I was then brought before Sarah Campbell under duress and told her so several times. Sarah Campbell confirmed that there was no plaintiff and no living man or woman with a claim against me but insisted that the ‘trial’ would still go ahead.

215 I was then *purportedly* bailed to return to the court the following morning with the condition imposed that I had to actually enter the courtroom but there was no mention of this on the ‘bail’ document. The bail document was printed out on a duplex laser printer and did not bear the name or signature of Sarah Campbell nor was it stamped with an original court seal, only a digitally printed one on both sides of the single sheet of paper.

220 This supposedly lawful bail document was a facsimile<sup>8</sup> of the one given to me two days earlier after I had been kidnapped and brought before John Reddihough, acting as a judge, at Reading Crown Court which again, did not bear the name or signature of John Reddihough or a hand stamped seal of the court. Neither bail document had a condition that I had to return to court at a stipulated time and date. In any case, I could not do so voluntarily, as to recognise the jurisdiction of this corporate court would be an

6 <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2015/2/section/26/enacted>

7 Statutes come from the Sultan’s Islamic *Qanun Law*, from which Roman Canon Law and statutes are derived.

8 The facsimile was complete with the same misspelled word, “regualy” which is spelled regularly.



225 act of treason on my part.

Because of this, I have been unable to return to my home and see and care for my wife Doreen and my ailing mother-in-law for over 4 weeks now as I have been pursued relentlessly by police officers posing as "constables", eager to use their powers of coercion to force me into their corporate jurisdiction so that "U.K. PLC" can pass sentence on the CROWN created legal fiction MICHAEL BURKE, which is a corporation created by way of a birth certificate, the original being held by the United Kingdom treasury.

230 Visits by up to five corporate police officers to our home is having a detrimental effect on my wife.

I have compiled a list of some of those corporate police officers who have been involved in my kidnapping, unlawful arrest, aggravated assault, unlawful detention, loss of liberty, loss of trade, etc., to name just some of the torts inflicted against myself, all of whom appear not to be able to comprehend just what is really going on, which classifies them as *idiots*. Once in their power, the victim is forced to subjugate to their Admiralty authority or be faced with being incarcerated in one of their corporate prisons. This has happened because the original constables' oath was to God. This was changed to an affirmation in 1996, using *Bill of Attainder* legislation obtained by Colour of Law fraud, approved by a commission under the Royal Assent Act 1967, by which the corporate Parliament can pass whatever it likes. The Police Act 1996 is a classic example.

HC Deb 22 May 1996 vol 278 cc291-2 291

§ Madam Speaker

245 I have to notify the House, in accordance with the Royal Assent Act 1967, that the Queen has signified Her Royal Assent to the following Acts:  
Police Act 1996

The traditional police oath of office was as follows:

250 "I do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve Our Sovereign Lady the Queen in the office of constable, without fear or affection, malice or ill will, and that I will to the best of my power cause the peace to be kept and preserved, and prevent all offences against the persons and properties of Her Majesty's subjects and that while I continue to hold the said office I will to the best of my skill and knowledge discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law."

As a result of the Police Act 1996 this was changed to what is in the box below.

255 I note that the words in brackets were removed from the original oath.

260 "I.....of.....do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve (our sovereign lady) the Queen in the office of constable, (without fear or affection, malice or ill will,) with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people; and that I will, to the best of my power, cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property; (of Her Majesty's subjects) and that while I continue to hold the said office I will, to the best of my skill and knowledge, discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law."

265 **By removing the words "Our Sovereign Lady" the corporate Parliament has passed legislation that encompasses the imaginary death of Your Majesty, which is a treasonable offence under the 1351 Treason Act.**

**It has also removed the Style and Honour of Your Majesty as a Sovereign Queen by law established, which is an offence of Treason contrary to the 1848 Treason Felony Act.**

270 Substituting "all people" for "Her Majesty's Subjects" has the same effect. The result of this is that the legal validity of the oath is compromised and men and women who have taken it are not lawful constables as constables are now all attested, not sworn. As the attestation is simply to the "queen", it



has the effect of lowering the status of Your Majesty to that of a commoner.

Blacks Law Dictionary, abridged sixth edition definition of "oath"

275

An outward pledge the person taking it that his attestation or promise is made under an immediate responsibility to God. A solemn appeal to the Supreme Being in attestation of the truth of some statement.

280

Police officers employed in tasking divisions for example, i.e., purporting to act on behalf of the Crown or Magistrate's Courts, have no more authority than that of employees of a private corporation such as McDonald's. Any orders, bail warrants or purported legal process is the process of a private business and has effect only on company employees. Company law can only be enforced by the fraudulent use of the constable's power of arrest.

285

On making an arrest, the police officer acting as a constable, fails to produce, or have on him an original signed warrant or bail document etc., and usually gives the response that it is being held at the police station or somewhere but is never produced and no one, not even the custody officers are able to produce one.

I have yet to come across any "constable" that was aware that they were enforcing the law of a foreign corporation, but as the old saying goes - **ignorance of the law is no excuse.**

The powers and jurisdiction of a constable are diametrically opposed to that of a police officer and a **man cannot serve two masters.**

290

Evidence of how this corporate system of governance can make any offence they like a crime can be seen with the passing of a five year jail sentence on a mother of two in Southend in September 2016 for parking in a mother and child parking bay.

<http://southendnewsnetwork.com/news/mum-sentenced-to-five-years-in-prison-for-parking-in-parent-and-child-space-without-a-child/>

In her sentencing speech, Judge Justice Custis QC said:

295

'I am entitled to pass sentence for up to five years imprisonment, and I will be using the full extent of this today to send out a clear message that this offence will not be tolerated in the area.'

300

The police and judiciary appear to look upon the power and authority of this *Court of Record* of YHVH with contempt and I would urge Your Majesty to give some sign that this treasonable enterprise will no longer be tolerated and to send forces loyal to Your Majesty to start rounding up these traitors as a matter of urgency.

<https://libertarianalliance.wordpress.com/2012/10/02/2-october-2012-1052/>

## The Oaths and Vows That Bind Our Society Together

305

### The purpose of oaths

310

The penchant for discussion of the Queen's Coronation Oath on conservative websites, and also the habit of the 'Freemen on the Land' of asking to see judicial oaths of office, have recently reminded me of the Christian basis of our Anglo-Saxon civilisation. Our constitution is held together by a series of oaths, oaths that mean something to people because they are solemn vows in the sight of God and before the people of this country to perform various duties. I am not sure how seriously an oath can be regarded in the days when religion is scoffed at. It may be that conservatives could still favour the retention of unshakeable, unshirkable and unretractable vows, regardless of any views on the existence of a Supreme Being, seeing such oaths as a foundation stone of our civilisation. Nevertheless, it is clear that most people who make oaths today are not expecting to have to fulfil them and break them with impunity. Is it any wonder that the fabric of our

315



society has become less secure?

... The requirement to take oaths is often dispensed with, as where a judge allows a witness to "affirm" the truth of his testimony in court. In the House of Commons, Members of Parliament are allowed to be sworn in using non-Christian religious books, arguably making a mockery of our Constitution – because the Queen's authority is based on the acknowledgement of the Christian church, which has deep roots in our history and culture – and therefore compromising the validity of the oath. Not only are oaths often replaced by affirmations, our law-courts and statutes also claim the right to set aside oaths, as in the claim by constitutional lawyers that the Coronation Oath is "modified" by subsequent legislation, and so is ultimately meaningless. Judges also claim the right to abrogate the marriage vow, an act that unpicks social bonds. Yet the reason why any of these oaths is taken in the first place is that an oath cannot be set aside. The legal efficacy of an oath may or may not be removed, but the oath itself – its binding moral force – cannot be cancelled retrospectively.

Furthermore, the swearing of an oath, a morally binding act, means that failure to fulfil the oath is perjury. There is an interesting distinction between the crime of perjury and other crimes: crimes in law require malice aforethought. Could it therefore be thought that no perjury has been committed where an oath, subsequently broken, was made in good faith, and only later on did the forswearer decide to give false evidence? From this it is clear that the nature of an oath is to create an ongoing obligation, one that a person of honour could not resile from, and that an oath made on one day binds the swearer forever afterwards, creating the continuing possibility of perjury if the oath is broken, regardless of the fact that no false intention was held at the very time the oath was taken.

**Back in the days of Alfred the Great, the difference between Englishmen and the Vikings was seen in the fact that the Vikings broke their oaths: such people were not to be trusted.**

Consequently, oath-breaking, in other words, perjury, has always been contrary to Common Law, although the first Act of Parliament dealing with perjury appears to be the 1540 Maintenance and Embracery Act. De Bracton indicated that perjury was against the Common Law as understood in his day:

*The punishment of those convicted in the aforesaid assises will be this: first of all, let them be arrested and cast into prison, and let all their lands and chattels be seized into the king's hand until they are redeemed at the king's will, so that nothing remains to them except their vacant tenements. They incur **perpetual infamy and lose the lex terrae**, so that **they will never afterwards be admitted to an oath**, for they will not henceforth be oathworthy, **nor be received as witnesses**, because it is presumed that **he who is once convicted of perjury will perjure himself again.***

### The Coronation Oath

The Coronation Oath is the very foundation of our constitution, as it creates the bonds of allegiance on the basis of which law-making and the determination of justice operate.

The text of the Oath of Allegiance is as follows:

I, (insert full name), do swear that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth, her heirs and successors, according to law. So help me God.

The Oath of Office is very similar in wording to the Oath of Allegiance, with the difference that the Oath of Allegiance is sworn to the entire royal line<sup>9</sup> (the Queen and all her heirs and successors), whereas Oaths of Office, sworn by holders of public office under particular monarchs, swear those oaths only to the monarch of the day:

I, (insert full name), do swear that I will well and truly serve Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth in the office of (insert office). So help me God.

The Judicial Oath is a longer variant of the Oath of Office:

I, (insert full name), do swear that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth in the office of (insert judicial office), and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of this realm, without fear or favour, affection or ill-will. So help me God.

9 To our knowledge, Prince Charles has not sworn such an oath.



370 Which oath needs to be sworn depends on the precise office held. Judges, magistrates, Members of Parliament and peers receiving the writ of summons to sit in the House of Lords are required to swear the Oath of Allegiance, but individuals who hold a particular office, including the prime minister and secretaries of state take the Oath of Office. Judges and magistrates swear the judicial oath in addition to the oath of allegiance. The abolition of the Oath of Supremacy means that archbishops, bishops, priests and deacons in the Church of England take the ordinary Oath of Allegiance.

375 The gradual insertion of politically correct nostrums into Oaths of Office is seen in the oath taken by police constables, as laid down in the Police Reform Act of 2002. The new text replaced the previous wording in the Police Act of 1996 to require the police to "uphold human rights" and "show equal respect" as follows:

380 I ... of ... do solemnly and sincerely declare and affirm that I will well and truly serve the Queen in the office of constable, with fairness, integrity, diligence and impartiality, upholding fundamental human rights and according equal respect to all people; and that I will, to the best of my power, cause the peace to be kept and preserved and prevent all offences against people and property; and that while I continue to hold the said office I will, to the best of my skill and knowledge, discharge all the duties thereof faithfully according to law.

385 Soldiers in the British Army and Royal Marines are required to swear the following oath, as given in the Army Act 1955:

390 I ... swear by Almighty God that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, her heirs and successors, and that I will, as in duty bound, honestly and faithfully defend Her Majesty, her heirs and successors, in person, crown and dignity against all enemies, and will observe and obey all orders of Her Majesty, her heirs and successors, and of the generals and officers set over me. So help me God.

395 Recruits in the Royal Air Force swear a similar oath (given in the Air Force Act of 1955), substituting "air officers" for "general", although **curiously sailors swear no oaths, as the Royal Navy exists under Royal prerogative and not Act of Parliament.**

The oath taken by Privy Counsellors is also somewhat different. The text of the oath was previously regarded as secret, in line with the convention that proceedings of the Privy Council are secret, but the text has been given in response to a written question in Parliament:

400 You do swear by Almighty God to be a true and faithful servant unto The Queen's Majesty as one of Her Majesty's Privy Council. You will not know or understand of any manner of thing to be attempted, done or spoken against Her Majesty's person, honour, crown or dignity royal, but you will let and withstand the same to the uttermost of your power, and either cause it to be revealed to Her Majesty herself, or to such of her Privy Council as shall advertise Her Majesty of the same. You will in all things to be moved, treated and debated in Council, faithfully and truly declare your mind and opinion, according to your heart and conscience; and will keep secret all matters committed and revealed unto you, or that shall be treated of secretly in Council. And if any of the said treaties or counsels shall touch any of the Counsellors you will not reveal it unto him but will keep the same until such time as, by the consent of Her Majesty or of the Council, publication shall be made thereof. You will to your uttermost bear faith and allegiance to the Queen's Majesty; and will assist and defend all civil and temporal jurisdictions, pre-eminences, and authorities, granted to Her Majesty and annexed to the Crown by Acts of Parliament, or otherwise, against all foreign princes, persons, prelates, states, or potentates. And generally in all things you will do as a faithful and true servant ought to do to Her Majesty. So help you God.

#### **The English Constitution today**

415 Our constitution is gradually being updated by a series of new laws that violate the Coronation Oath and claim the right to eliminate English Common Law. The religious nature of oaths has been undermined. Jury trials have been restricted in scope, and statutes allowing majority verdicts to be returned also reveal the intention to remove the guarantees of liberties provided by juries. The new police oath to "equality" and the casual way in which all the key officers of state violate their oaths of



420 office by supporting European jurisdiction over our laws kick away a few more pillars of the constitution. The installation of a Supreme Court – removing the judicial function of Parliament that provided an ultimate guarantee that traitors and others working against our society could be held accountable – is another important development.<sup>10</sup>

425 It is undoubtedly the case that any attempt in the court system today to argue, as the Freemen on the Land do, for the primacy of Common Law over statute law will fail, as the judges are simply part of the wider Establishment that is seeking to overturn our laws. The point of seeing the central role of the Coronation Oath in providing us with guarantees of our liberties is therefore political: our fascinating Common Law heritage provides the basis on which we could campaign to restore a polity where Parliament (in other words, the political elite) could no longer govern us in such an untrammelled fashion, hedging our governors in again with traditional restraints.

430 **It is in this light that I refuse to accept that oaths are mere pageantry.** The Coronation Oath is the apex of our constitution, and its reinterpretation as mere ceremony robs the entire structure of its essential meaning, giving a green light to the technocracy to dissolve our liberties by statute and regulation. The fundamental cultural change facilitating this, however, is the cultural shift away from personal integrity. Whereas the Angles and the Saxons despised oath-breakers, the word and bond of most of us today is worthless.

435 Of course, there are many conservatives and libertarians who tired of our religious heritage some time ago. The alternative – the cynical technocracy – will be far worse than the inculcation of moral fibre in old England ever was. **A society populated by people you cannot trust to keep their word is a different type of society – I would argue that it is not a society at all – and where society retreats, bureaucratic power rushes in to fill the void.**

440 This *Court of Record* has received testimony from the living man known as Peter Frenette of Little Paxton, Huntingdonshire, concerning the living man known as Alan David Smith who was kidnapped by living men purporting to be Common Law constables and who have thereby committed an act of High Treason to Your Majesty under the Treason Act 1351.

450 Alan David Smith of Melton Mowbray, Rutlandshire is a seventy-year old master cabinet maker, registered firearms dealer, firearms experimenter and runs a miniature rifle shooting gallery with Peter Frenette of Little Paxton, Huntingdonshire under section 11 (4) of the Firearms Act 1968, which exempts you from the provisions of that act.

On the 17<sup>th</sup> March 2014 Jonathan Saggars (a CROWN firearms inquiry officer commercially operating in Cambridgeshire) officially signed and dated the transfer of two miniature rifles riles from Peter's son's RFD authority to Peter's shooting gallery.

455 In April 2014 the same Jonathan Saggars seized Peter's lawfully held two .22 gallery rifles that he knew were exempt from the provisions of the 1968 Act.

460 On 11<sup>th</sup> September 2014 four heavily-armed Cambridgeshire police force officers (as opposed to Common Law constables) carrying sub-machine guns, sidearms and stun grenades, accompanied by a motley gang of Cambridgeshire police force officers lead by PFO Ian Moore, used a false search warrant delivered by a Cambridgeshire PFO officer holding a sub-machine gun, the threat of its use made obvious, to gain unlawful entry without first handing the false search warrant to Peter.

Photos of armed Cambridgeshire PFOs and copies of the false search warrant are available.

465 Between October 2014 and June 2015, Alan received at least four phone calls from both the Police Forces of Leicestershire and Cambridgeshire requesting he give information on Peter and each time Alan requested the police officers send him their questions on officially-headed letters, and he would answer each question in full, but no such request was sent. Eventually someone from Leicester Police Force visited Alan and held up a list of questions for Alan to answer. Alan looked at the list and said the Cambridgeshire police already had answers to each of the questions.

In mid-June 2015, CROWN officers of the Leicestershire police force firearms department took all of

10 This refers to impeachment. It is now a matter for the Supreme Court, not Parliament.



470 Alan's sporting guns and rifles and withdrew his firearms and shotgun certificates. Then in a  
related issue, a few days later Alan was unlawfully arrested, given police bail to surrender himself at  
the police headquarters in Leicester city, to be charged by a CROWN Prosecution Service officer on  
the 31<sup>st</sup> July 2015. Between being arrested and surrendering bail, Alan sent three consequential  
475 legal Notices to John Toon, deputy CROWN manager of Leicestershire firearms certification office,  
demanding that John Toon give full explanation and full disclosures of information John Toon and  
his two accompanying CROWN colleagues relied on to seize all of his (Alan's) firearms, ammunition  
and certificates, and remove him from the CROWN corporation firearm dealers register. John Toon  
never responded to any of the three legal notices, and when Alan surrendered himself to Leicester  
480 police force headquarters, supposedly to be charged for one or more non-existent crimes, the  
receptionist told Alan there was no one available to process him. Alan therefore got the receptionist  
to sign and date a note stating he had appeared at the police headquarters and no one was available  
to charge him. Alan took the note and went home, and the status quo remained till Alan sent three  
consequential legal notices to Simon Cole, Chief Constable of Leicestershire.

485 Shortly after Alan was arrested and held incommunicado in Leicestershire police cells, held  
overnight, and against his will and without his consent was coerced into Leicester magistrate's court  
and told to return a week or so later. The week before Alan was to appear back in court, he returned  
all the documents pertaining to the artificial person/legal Fiction MR ALAN SMITH, together with a  
notice stating he would not be returning to Leicestershire Magistrates court as the matter had  
already been lawfully settled by the six legal notices that John Toon and Simon Coles received from  
Alan, and had never rebutted nor answered by requested affidavit.

490 On Saturday morning, 12<sup>th</sup> November 2016, Alan was at his home, when four Leicestershire  
"constables" called and unlawfully arrested him and detained him incommunicado in Leicester  
police cells until Monday, 14<sup>th</sup> November, when he was taken to a magistrate's court. Because Alan  
refused to speak to the magistrates, he was sentenced to seven days imprisonment for contempt of  
court. However, Alan was not taken to prison but instead was taken to and being detained in a  
495 holding cell at Euston Street police station, Leicester. We have since learned from Alans wife that  
Alan has now been moved to Leicester prison.

### **Injunction.**

It is the wish of this *Court of Record* that the living man known as Alan David Smith of Melton Mowbray,  
Rutlandshire is released immediately. It is our wish that those men and women who purport to be  
500 constables are commanded by Your Majesty to cease and desist from:

1. Kidnapping any of Your Majesty's loyal subjects.
2. Not to intimidate, pester, harass or take any form of unlawful action against Peter, Michael or Alan.
3. Not to enter within the boundary of Peters home at Little Paxton, Huntingdonshire, Michaels home at  
505 Langley, Berkshire and Alan's home at Melton Mowbray, Rutlandshire or to loiter outside the boundary  
of the said homes.
4. Return of all stolen/seized private property belonging to Michael, Peter & Alan including but not  
limited to batons, knives, firearms & ammunition.
5. There is no immunity and unlimited personal substantial liability for all those who are engaged in  
such. The penalty for High Treason is, to use an English under-statement, "unlimited".

510 Yours faithfully,

*Mike Burke* Original 1

Mike Burke  
Plaintiff, Bailiff of the Court of Record.

515 Date: 21<sup>st</sup> November 2016

